

PATENT  
Attorney Docket No. 00F1464

REMARKS

The Examiner is thanked for the careful review of the application.

The Applicants have amended the application to better describe some of the differences between the present invention and the Lawler and Shoff references.

The claims now all include limitations which are focused around the fact that the present invention provides multiple tabs where each tab is dedicated to one "source of programming."

The Lawler and Shoff references do not teach multiple "sources of programming" being available on various tabs.

This notion of multiple programming sources with multiple dedicated linear tabs is not shown or suggested in the cited references.

The benefits of efficiency and ease of use that are achieved because of the multiple linearly arranged tabs on sources of programming for one particular geographic location are not achieved by the Lawler and Shoff references or by some hypothetical combination of these two references.

The Applicants believe that when these new claim limitations are considered, it will be clear that the present claims, as amended, are not taught by the cited references and that notification of allowance of these pending amended claims is now appropriate.

PATENT  
Attorney Docket No. 00F1464

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth A. Franken et al.

By:

Gregory G. Williams, Reg. No. 31,581  
Simmons, Perrine, Albright & Ellwood, P.L.C.  
Third Floor Tower Place  
22 South Linn Street  
Iowa City, Iowa 52240  
Telephone: (319) 887-1368  
Facsimile: (319) 887-1372

I hereby certify that this correspondence  
is being facsimile transmitted to the  
United States Patent and Trademark  
Office on April 30, 2004.

  
Marian Palmersheim